

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(261)

CRM-M-22845-2015

Decided on: October 08, 2015.

Sunder

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. Bhawesh Chaudhary, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. D.R. Singla, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Jagbir Singh, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

Petitioner seeks concession of pre-arrest bail in a criminal complaint after having been summoned under Section 307 IPC and other sections. Pursuant to the interim order, he has already appeared before the summoning Court.

Learned counsel for the complainant has intervened to oppose the application for bail.

Taking into consideration the fact that it is not a case where custody of the petitioner is required for any purpose especially when he has already been released on interim bail, the petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to remain on bail against the bail bonds already furnished during the trial subject to the condition that petitioner will continue to appear before the trial Court and will not absent himself without any sufficient cause.

**(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE**

October 08, 2015

harsha