

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-22819-2015 (O & M)

Date of decision:21.07.2015

Murslin

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Saleem Ahmed, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Dhir, DAG, Haryana.

Rajan Gupta, J. (oral)

This is a petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking regular bail to petitioner in a case registered against him vide FIR No.483 dated 08.12.2014 under Section 379 IPC and Section 411 IPC (added later on) read with Section 136 of Indian Electricity Act (Amend) 2003 at Police Station Sonapat Sadar District Sonapat.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner is in custody since February 10, 2015. According to him, name of the petitioner figured only in disclosure statement of co-accused namely Anil and Karambir.

Learned State counsel has opposed the prayer for bail.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, period of incarceration of the petitioner and the fact that trial of the case may take long time to conclude, I am of the considered view that no useful purpose will be served by detaining the petitioner any longer. Thus, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on bail to the satisfaction of Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, Sonapat.

21.07.2015

sukhpreet

**(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE**