

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No.M-22792 of 2014 (O&M)
Decided on : 13.03.2015**

Saraj Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Punjab Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER

Present:- Mr. R.S.Aulakh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Garg, AAG, Punjab.

MAHESH GROVER, J.(Oral)

The petitioner prays for regular bail in a case vide FIR No.26 dated 10.03.2014 registered under Sections 397, 307 & 401 IPC and Sections 25 of Arms Act at Police Station Sultanpur Lodhi, District Kapurthala.

It is alleged by the complainant that he was followed by three young men in a Alto car while he was going in his car, they fired upon him and snatched his ATM card, other important documents and Rs.2,000/- in cash.

Learned State counsel opposed the regular bail on the ground that the petitioner is involved in number of offences including 307 and 397 IPC. The following are the list of cases, where the petitioner is alleged to have been involved:

1. FIR No.130 dated 07.11.2011 U/s 302/148/149 IPC and 25/27/54/59 Arms Act. P.S.Makhu, District Ferozepur.
2. FIR No.25 dated 12.03.2014 U/s 457/380 IPC P.S.Makhu, District Ferozepur.
3. FIR No.26 dated 10.03.2014 U/s 397/307/401 IPC and

25/54/59 Arms Act, P.S.Sultanpur Lodhi, District Kapurthala.

4. FIR No.13 dated 18.02.2014 U/s 382 IPC and 25/54/59 Arms Act, P.S.Mehna, District Moga.

5. FIR No.10 dated 02.03.2014 U/s382/34 IPC P.S.Dhilwan, District Kapurthala.

6. FIR No.25 dated 08.03.2014 U/s 382/34 IPC P.S.Sarhali, District Tarn Taran.

7. FIR No.21 dated 10.03.2014 U/s 382/506/34 IPC P.S.Sadar, Mukatsar, District Mukatsar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is on the bail in all the cases and thus, he is entitled to be released on bail in the present case.

The pendency of other cases coupled with the fact that the petitioner when released on bail had indulged in anti social and criminal activities would disentitle the petitioner to the similar relief now. This is a valid consideration to deny the bail to the petitioner when he is in repeated conflict with law. One of the implicit condition of bail is the good conduct of a person which he is required to maintain while enjoying such a relief.

Finding that the petitioner has blatantly abused such a benefit, I am of the opinion that no ground for bail application. Therefore, criminal miscellaneous petition stands dismissed.

13.03.2015
sonia

(Mahesh Grover)
Judge