

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-22656 of 2015.
Date of Decision: 17.07.2015.**

Ruksina

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH

- 1) Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?.
- 2) To be referred to the Reporters or not ?.
- 3) Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. Ferry Sofat, Advocate for the petitioner.

Paramjeet Singh, J. (Oral)

This is a petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. praying for the benefit of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 77, dated 26.02.2015, under Sections 409, 419 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Punhana, District Mewat.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is not involved in this case. There is no need of custodial interrogation.

I have heard the contentions raised by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

Admittedly, the petitioner is the Sarpanch of Village Gram Panchayat Nai. The allegations against the petitioner are that she has withdrawn the pension in the name of dead persons. At least 7 names have been mentioned in the FIR, who were dead much earlier and pension was withdrawn in their names. Further allegation is that the petitioner has misused her powers.

In the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case, I am of the considered opinion that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is necessary in this case.

Petition dismissed.

July 17, 2015.
kanchan

**(PARAMJEET SINGH)
JUDGE**