

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

1) Crl.Misc.No.M-22463 of 2015

Rameshwar alias Rameshwar Dass

....Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana

...Respondent

2) Crl.Misc.No.M-22467 of 2015

Rameshwar alias Rameshwar Dass

....Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana

...Respondent

3) Crl.Misc.No.M-22465 of 2015

Rameshwar alias Rameshwar Dass

....Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana

...Respondent

Date of Decision : 20.7.2015

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER

....

Present : Mr.R.N.Lohan, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr.S.D.Bansal, Advocate
for the complainant.

.....

MAHESH GROVER, J.

By this order I will dispose of all the three petitions

where prayer has been made under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for release

of the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in FIR No.130 dated 30.5.2015 under Sections 406/420/120B/506 IPC, FIR No.61 dated 8.4.2015 under Sections 406/420/34 IPC and FIR No.70 dated 16.4.2015 under Sections 406/420/34 IPC at Police Station Taraori, District Karnal.

The petitioner is facing present FIRs as also number of other FIRs registered by various persons in different districts alleging that he had projected himself as a dealer of rice and in this manner collected huge sums of money from various persons without entering them in his own accounts books, resultantly duping most of them when he failed to return their amount.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that at best if the allegations are taken to be correct they would be vague and if accepted would be a liability of civil consequences with no criminal attributes in the absence of any intention.

I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and have perused the material on record which indicates that the petitioner who was operating under the name and style of M/s Sidhi Vinayak Rice Mills, Chopri and with interest in M/s Mahavir Foods, Taraori, as well, collected money from numerous people without effectively accounting for them in their own account books resulting in failure to return the amount of those who had believed in the assurance held out by the

petitioner.

At this stage of the proceedings the court cannot presume the innocence of the petitioner considering that such a deceitful web woven by fly-by-night operators designed to deceive people without leaving any traceable tracks required deeper and sustained investigation and granting pre-arrest bail to persons who prima facie appear to be involved in deceitful pursuits would amount to throttling an effective investigation. In the view of the court, the prayer of the petitioner for his release on pre-arrest bail would be totally unjustified particularly when an option was given to the petitioner to settle the accounts with the contenders which offer the petitioner has spurned.

No ground.

Dismissed.

20.7.2015

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

dss