

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-22440 of 2015.
Decided on:-August 04, 2015.

Balraj Singh.Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab.Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. B.P.S. Gill, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurinderjit Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Manmeet Singh Rana, Advocate
for the complainant.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.28 dated 4.3.2015 under Sections 419/420/467/468/471/120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Meharban, District Ludhiana.

The aforesaid FIR was registered against the petitioner and other co-accused on the complaint made by Ajit Jain. As per the FIR, Harjinder Singh and his relative Gurmit Singh in connivance with other co-accused got purchased a plot measuring 485 sq. yards to them from

Gulshan Kumar and after that, they again induced his father to purchase some other plot for ₹ 04,80,000/- and fixed the date as 2.8.2012 for the execution of the sale deed. Then, they again got purchased other plot but later on, they started putting off the matter on one pretext or the other for getting the sale deed executed of the plot. Thereafter, it has come to the knowledge of the complainant that the accused persons have committed cheating and fraud of ₹ 90 lacs and the agreements which were got executed by the accused persons with regard to different properties were forged and even the sale deeds were handed over by the accused persons to the complainant and his father which were, in fact, scanned, forged and fabricated.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations in the FIR are false and the plots in question were duly entered by way of mutations and, therefore, at the most, it is a dispute of civil nature and custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required.

Learned counsel for the State has argued that the petitioner along with other co-accused has fabricated the documents and the sale deeds have been executed on the basis of scanned documents.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

The allegations against the petitioner are that he in connivance with other co-accused had induced the father of the complainant to purchase property and it is on their inducement, the complainant got purchased property for about ₹ 90 lacs. However, subsequently, it has been found that

the agreements which were got executed by the accused were forged. The sale deeds were intentionally scanned to commit fraud upon the complainant.

Having regard to the fact that the allegations made in the FIR against petitioner Balraj Singh are serious in nature, no ground for anticipatory bail is made out.

Accordingly, the present petition stands dismissed.

However, the observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as reflection of any opinion on the merits of the main case.

August 04, 2015
'Yag Dutt'

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE