

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-22428-2015

Date of decision: 16.7.2015

Pardeep Kumar alias Babloo

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE SNEH PRASHAR

Present: Mr.Abhinay Goel, Advocate for the petitioner

SNEH PRASHAR, J.

The present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure has been filed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case First Information Report No.71 dated 3.3.2012 registered under Sections 341, 427, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code at Police Station Zirakpur, Police Post Baltana, District Mohali.

The submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner have been heard.

It was submitted on behalf of the petitioner that he was released on regular bail. Due to mistake of fact that his counsel had taken exemption from personal appearance till the presentation of challan, he did not appear before the trial Court. Subsequently he changed his address and for that reason did not receive summons issued by the Court.

Learned counsel contended that the petitioner was attending the court

regularly in another case bearing First Information Report No.193 dated 29.6.2011, registered at Police Station Zirakpur but had no knowledge about the instant case. Submitting that the absence of the petitioner before the trial Court was neither intentional nor deliberate and nothing is to be recovered from him, learned counsel prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

The petitioner was released on regular bail by the learned trial Court on 3.3.2012. Thereafter, due to his non appearance, he was declared proclaimed offender on 12.3.2013. When he himself admits that he was appearing in another criminal case pending before learned JMIC, Derabassi, it does not appear to be true that he was not aware of the status of the present case.

The challan has already been presented. This is third bail petition filed by the petitioner. The earlier two petitions filed on the same grounds were dismissed as withdrawn by him. It appears that he has scant regard for the order passed by the Court.

Keeping in view the conduct of the petitioner, no case for grant of anticipatory bail to him is made out and his petition is hereby dismissed.

16.7.2015
gsv

(SNEH PRASHAR)
JUDGE