

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-2242 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision:- 11.05.2015.

Samreen @ Simran and another

.....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Haryana and others.

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present: Ms. Sunita Nambiar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. M.S Sidhu, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL)

The instant petition was filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C, praying for issuance of directions to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners as they faced threat to the same on account of having entered into matrimony against the wishes of the elders.

Notice of motion was issued by this Court on 21.1.2015 and liberty was granted to the petitioners to approach Superintendent of Police, Karnal-respondent No. 2 for protection in the light of the instructions dated 21.2.2011, issued by the Home Department, State of Haryana.

Reply on behalf of the State has been placed on record and a perusal of the same would reveal that a case bearing FIR No. 71 dated 20.1.2015 under Section 363/366 IPC was registered at Police Station City, District Karnal against Abhishek son of Ram Babu i.e. present petitioner No. 2 on the basis of complaint submitted by Yushub i.e. father of petitioner No. 1 herein on the allegations that Abhishek has abducted his daughter.

Further stand has been taken that after issuance of notice of motion, both the petitioners were sent to the Safe House at Karnal. Thereafter, statement of petitioner No. 1 was recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C before the learned JMJC Karnal, wherein she deposed that she had solemnized marriage with Abhishek on 19.1.2015 and now she does not need any police/Court protection. On the basis of such statement, even a cancellation report has been prepared.

As per reply, statements of the immediate relatives of petitioner No. 1 i.e. Samreen@ Simran have also been recorded and they have stated that they have no objection qua the marriage between the petitioners.

In view of the categoric stand taken in the written statement, no further directions are required to be passed in the instant petition and the same is disposed of having been rendered infructuous.

Disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

11.05.2015
PA