

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M-22415 of 2015
Date of decision: 20.7.2015

Prince Dhingra

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Shiv Kumar Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Rajan Gupta, J.

In this petition under Section 439 (2) Cr.P.C., petitioner seeks cancellation of bail granted to Darshna, respondent No.2, in a case registered against her under Section 307/34 IPC at Police Station Sadar Jalandhar, District Jalandhar, vide FIR No.50 dated 27.02.2015.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that this court has erred in granting pre-arrest bail to respondent No.2, who did not disclose to the court that there was another case pending against her. According to him, petitioner was able to get concession of pre-arrest bail on the basis of a wrong statement made before this court.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and given careful thought to the facts of the case.

FIR was registered against accused Darshna on the basis of complaint of her son. He alleged that his mother tried to put poisonous substance in his mouth in order to kill him. On that basis FIR under

Section 307/34 IPC was registered.

This court after considering the matter, had directed accused/respondent No.2 to join investigation. On the next date, this court was informed that accused Darshna had cooperated with the investigating agency and was no longer required for custodial interrogation. On the basis of same, order granting pre-arrest bail was made absolute. Petitioner has filed this petition on the basis of wholly frivolous averments. No ground has been pointed out for cancellation of anticipatory bail granted to accused Darshna. This petition is, therefore, not only without merit but is misconceived. It is dismissed with Rs.20,000/- as costs.

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

20.7.2015
'Rajpal'