

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No. M-22323 of 2015
Date of Decision:-21.8.2015**

Parveen Ahuja and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Mr. Arun Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Gurinderjit Singh, Deputy A.G., Punjab.

Mr. B.D. Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No.64 dated 28.2.2008, under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468 and 471 IPC, registered at Police Station Sadar, Jalandhar (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom as well as summoning order dated 6.7.2009 (Annexure P-2) passed by learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Jalandhar, on the basis of compromise dated 12.6.2015 (Annexure P-3).

Vide order dated 15.7.2015, this Court has directed the parties to appear before the trial Court to get their statements recorded

and the learned Magistrate was directed to forward a report about the genuineness of the compromise to this Court.

In compliance of the order dated 15.7.2015, the parties have appeared before the learned Magistrate on 20.7.2015 for getting their statements recorded.

The report dated 30.7.2015 received from the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Jalandhar, reveals that the compromise effected between the parties is without any pressure or coercion of anyone.

Learned counsel appearing for respondent No.2 does not dispute the fact of compromise effected between the parties.

Learned State counsel does not dispute the aforesaid factual position, rather admit the factum of compromise effected between the parties.

Heard.

Since the parties have entered into a compromise and learned Magistrate has recorded their statements followed by her report, continuation of the trial arising out from the impugned FIR and consequential proceedings would be sheer abuse of the process of law since chances of the conviction are bleak.

Keeping in view the factum of compromise effected between the parties and the law laid down by this Court in the case of **Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another 2007(3) RCR (Crl.) 1052 (P & H)**, this petition is accepted and FIR No.64 dated 28.2.2008, under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468 and 471 IPC, registered at Police Station Sadar, Jalandhar and the consequential proceedings arising

therefrom are hereby quashed qua petitioners.

The petition is disposed of.

August 21, 2015
Vijay Asija

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE