

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No. M-22304 of 2015
Date of decision : 21.07.2015**

Aasu

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

**Present: Mr. Munfaid Khan, Advocate
for the petitioner.**

Mr. Amrik Narwal, DAG Haryana.

**Mr. Ferry Sofat, Advocate
for the complainant.**

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 184 dated 22.04.2015, Police Station Punhana, District Mewat, registered under Sections 148, 149, 323, 379, 427 and 452 IPC.

The case had been adjourned on the last date to enable the petitioner to place on record the MLR. Today, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he has not been able to get MLR of the injured.

Complainant side has also appeared and states that copy of MLR is available with them.

Heard.

Counsel for the petitioner contends that the only allegation in the FIR is that he gave an axe blow on the left side of the head and the injuries are simple in nature and false allegations had been levelled and the offence under Section 323 IPC is bailable.

Learned State counsel assisted by counsel for the

complainant submits that injury with axe had been inflicted on the head and some more sections have been added and the injury is grievous and opinion of the doctor has been obtained and recovery is to be effected and custodial interrogation is required.

Allegations against the petitioner and others are that they were armed with lethal weapons entered the shop of the complainant and inflicted injuries with axe and iron rod and lathi. The role attributed to the petitioner is that he had caused grievous injury on the head with an axe. Recovery is to be effected. Custodial interrogation is necessary. Considering the circumstances of the case, it is not a fit case where the concession of anticipatory bail should be given to the petitioner. The petition is dismissed.

July 21, 2015
Jiten

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE