

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-22367-2014
Date of decision:20.10.2015**

Royal Bank of Scotland N.V. through its authorised representative and
another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr.Gautam Dutt, Advocate for
Mr.Anurag Arora, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr.Surender Singh, AAG, Haryana.
Mr.Vikram Bajaj, Senior Panel Counsel for respondent No.2.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Admittedly, petitioners have not availed equally efficacious
alternative remedy of revision against the impugned summoning order.

In view of the above, petitioners are relegated to their equally
efficacious alternative remedy of revision, at the first instance, however,
with liberty to the petitioners to approach this Court again, if necessity
arises. It is made clear that if the petitioners would file appropriate revision
petition against the impugned summoning order, complainant-respondent
will not raise the issue of limitation, as fairly stated by the learned counsel
for the respondent.

Disposed of, accordingly.

20.10.2015
mks

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE