

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(1) CRM-M-22260 of 2015.
Decided on:-August 06, 2015.

Gurpreet Singh alias Beera.Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab.Respondent.

(2) CRM-M-23944 of 2015.

Niranjan Singh alias Mithu Vaid.Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab.Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. A.S. Brar, Advocate for the petitioner in
CRM-M No.22260 of 2015.

Mr. C.S. Jattana, Advocate for the petitioner in
CRM-M No.23944 of 2015.

Mr. Gurinderjit Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Kamaljeet Singh Sandhu, Advocate
for the complainant.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

This order shall dispose of the aforementioned two petitions
filed under Section 439 Cr.PC for grant of regular bail as the same have been
arisen out of the FIR No.9 dated 13.2.2015 under Sections 302, 148, 149,

120-B IPC and Section 25,27 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station Phul, District Bathinda.

However, for convenience of the Court, the facts are being taken from CRM-M No.22260 of 2015 titled “Gurpreet Singh alias Beera Versus State of Punjab”.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contend that apart from the fact that there is no specific role attributed to the petitioners, deceased Gurveer Singh @ Goldy has died because of the pistol shot and the said injury was attributed to the main accused, namely, Lakhbir Singh @ Lakha Sidhana. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submit that no injury has been attributed to the petitioners and they are in custody since 14.2.2015.

Learned counsel for the complainant, on the other hand, submits that since the petitioners were present at the time of occurrence, they were instrumental in execution of the offence.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Dharam Singh, also supports the argument of learned counsel for the complainant.

Considering the fact that no injury has been attributed to the petitioners and the occurrence had taken place when some nominations were to be filled for the Nagar Panchayat elections, the presence of the petitioners at the place of nomination was in the natural course. Further, they are in custody since 14.2.2015 and the challan has already been presented before the concerned Court.

In view of the above, the present petitions for regular bail are

allowed and the petitioners are admitted on regular bail subject to their furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Illaqa Magistrate.

It is made clear that the observations made hereinabove shall not be construed any expression on the merit of the case and the trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of evidence available on record.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of the connected case.

August 06, 2015
'Yag Dutt'

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE