

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**\*\*\*\***

**CRM No.M-22223 of 2015 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: 01.10.2015**

**\*\*\*\***

Inderjit Kaur

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI**

Present: Mr. G.S. Kler, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. K.S. Pannu, DAG, Punjab,  
for the respondent.

Mr. A.S. Salar, Advocate,  
for the informant.

**\*\*\***

**NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J. (ORAL)**

Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 438, Cr.P.C., is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner-Inderjit Kaur, who has been booked for having committed the offences punishable under Sections 406 and 420 of Indian Penal Code, in a case arising out of FIR No.102 dated 08.06.2015, registered at Police Station, Phase-I, S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali).

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of the interim directions dated 18.08.2015 issued by

this Court, the petitioner did join the investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency; in utter non-compliance of the directions issued by the Reserve Bank of India, the aggrieved persons had allegedly paid cash amount beyond ₹20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand only) to the petitioner; the investigating officer has failed to verify the capacity of the aggrieved persons to pay such huge amount; and that the investigating officer is not proceeding in the right direction.

Learned counsel for the State after taking instructions from ASI-Ramesh Kumar, Police Station, Phase-I, S.A.S. Nagar, (Mohali) and going through the police file, submits that the petitioner did join the investigation but in compliance of the order issued by this Court, she failed to disclose as to how much amount was received by her from 23 aggrieved persons and where she had invested/spent the said amount; there are serious allegations of receiving more than ₹2,00,00,000/- (Rupees two crores only) of rupees from 23 ladies of the locality where the petitioner was residing and that the amount was received after inducing innocent ladies of the locality.

Learned counsel for the aggrieved persons has also opposed the anticipatory bail to the petitioner on the ground that in a very calculated manner, the petitioner has grabbed the huge amount of the innocent ladies.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and with

their able assistance, gone through the material available on record.

Perusal of the police file brought by ASI-Ramesh Kumar would show that 10 persons including the informant had levelled serious allegations of receiving hefty amount by the petitioner from them in a designed manner. The photostat copies of the cheques issued by the petitioner under her signatures would strengthen the fact that the huge amount was charged by the petitioner from the women of the locality and that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner appears to be necessary to unearth the well-designed offences committed by the petitioner.

No ground for grant of anticipatory bail is made out.

Dismissed.

Interim directions issued by this Court vide order dated 18.08.2015, are hereby vacated.

**(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)**  
**JUDGE**

**01.10.2015**  
*Bhumika*