

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-22209 of 2015 (O&M).
Decided on:-July 17, 2015.

Kotak Mahindra Bank Limited.Petitioner.

Versus

State of U.T. Chandigarh and othersRespondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Rajbir Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition is for directing respondent No.2 for initiating action on the representation dated 08.05.2015 (Annexure P-3) submitted by the petitioner bank against respondent No.4 and further to issue direction to register a criminal case against respondent No.4.

Admittedly, the petitioner bank has entered into an agreement with respondent No.4, and, therefore, the petitioner bank has a liberty to invoke the legal remedy as available and discussed in the said agreement.

Without commenting upon the right of the petitioner bank as it has a remedy in the light of the agreement executed between the parties,

respondent No.2 is directed to look into the contents of the representation dated 8.5.2015 (Annexure P-3) and to take action in accordance with law.

With the aforesaid direction, this petition is disposed of.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

July 17, 2015
'Yag Dutt'