

223

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.22272 of 2014
Date of Decision: 03.03.2015**

SUKHWINDER KAUR & ORS

.....Petitioners

Vs

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS

.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. S.K. Gupta, Advocate for
Mr. S.S. Rana, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Arshdeep S. Kler, D.A.G, Punjab.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.

Learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab on instructions from SI Amarjit Singh states that petitioners No.1 and 3 namely Sukwinder Kaur and Vikramjit Singh are not required in any investigation of the present case and petitioner No.2 has already been arrested on 13.08.2014 and in this way the present petition has become infructuous.

In view of the afore-said the present petition is ordered to be disposed of as having become infructuous.

March 3, 2015.

Atik

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**