

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No. M-22143 of 2015
Date of Decision: 05.08.2015**

Joginder Teotia & others

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab & another

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Mr. Manish Soni, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Gurinderjit Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. S.K. Kaushik, Advocate for respondent no.2.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for quashing of FIR No.20 dated 9.2.2013 under Sections 498-A, 406, 323, 506, 34 IPC registered at Police Station Sector 18, District Gurgaon and subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 2.7.2015 (Annexure P2).

This Court vide order dated 10.7.2015, had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court to get their statements recorded with regard to compromise dated 2.7.2015 and

the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court was directed to submit its report about the genuineness of the compromise as per the statements so recorded.

Learned State counsel has filed reply on behalf of respondent no.1 in Court which is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the parties submit that as on date, petitioner no.1 Toginder Teotia and respondent no.2-Sarita are living together as husband and wife and there is no dispute between them.

Learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Gurgaon has also forwarded her report dated 29.7.2015, on the basis of statement recorded by the parties, to the effect that the matter has been compromised between the parties with their free will and without any pressure or undue influence and the complainant-respondent no.2 has no objection of the aforesaid FIR and subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are quashed.

There is nothing on record to doubt the genuineness of the compromise, as arrived between the parties. Thus, no useful purpose would be served to continue with the proceedings in the instant FIR.

Following the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 (P&H), as approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab and others**, (2012)10 SCC 303, this petition is allowed and

FIR No.20 dated 9.2.2013 under Sections 498-A, 406, 323, 506, 34 IPC registered at Police Station Sector 18, District Gurgaon and subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are quashed on the basis of compromise dated 2.7.2015 (Annexure P2).

August 05, 2015
AK

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE