

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

PARAMJIT KAUR SAINI
2015.02.21 16:00
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CRM-M-22198 of 2014

Date of Decision: 20.02.2015

M/s Pioneer Aqua and another

---Petitioners

versus

M.K.Bitumen Products

---Respondent

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

Present: Mr.Dheeraj K.Sammi, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr.D.D.Sharma, Advocate
for the respondent

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

REKHA MITTAL, J.

Counsel for the respondent has submitted that vide order dated 3.9.2014 passed by the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Faridabad, the complaint in question along with documents has been returned in view of judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in ***Dashrath Rupsingh Rathod vs. State of Maharashtra*** and another passed in Criminal Appeal No. 2287 of 2009 decided on 1.8.2014 and the respondent has already filed complaint in the courts at Delhi and the next date fixed is 6.5.2015.

Counsel for the petitioners, in view of statement made by counsel for the respondent, states that the petition may be disposed of.

Disposed of accordingly.

(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE

20.02.2015

PARAMJIT