

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-22117 of 2015

Date of decision: 20.08.2015

Satbir @ Mota

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. M.S. Sidhu, Advocate for
Mr. Surender Saini, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Yashwinder Singh, DAG, Haryana
assisted by ASI Satish Kumar.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in case FIR No.298, dated 30.06.2014 , registered under Section 136 of Electricity Act (Amended), 2003, at Police Station Kharkhoda, District Sonapat.

The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. Earlier the present FIR was registered against some unknown person and subsequently, the petitioner was implicated in the present FIR. Nothing is to be recovered from the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody since 27.01.2015.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the prayer of bail and states that petitioner is involved in another seven cases of similar nature. The challan stands presented; charges have been framed, however, no witness has been examined so far.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

The petitioner was not arrested from the spot. Keeping in view the fact that out of total 12 witnesses, none has been examined so far, therefore, it can be safely inferred that the trial is not likely to be concluded in the near future, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of the trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

20.08.2015

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE