

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-22092 of 2015
Date of Decision: 10.07.2015**

Baldev Raj ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others ... Respondents

CORAM:-HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Dilpreet Singh Gandhi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Varun Sharma, AAG, Punjab.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that an information in respect of commission of cognizable offence was submitted to respondent No.3 on 07.02.2014 and thereafter, representation was moved before respondent No.2 on 06.11.2014 (Annexure P-7).

In this way compliance of Section 154 Cr.P.C was duly made. Police was obligated to act in accordance with parameters laid down in ***Lalita Kumari Vs. Government of U.P. (2014)2 SCC(1).***

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. Varun Sharma, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State. A copy of the petition has been supplied to him.

Police can embark upon inquiry only for the limited purposes to ascertain whether cognizable offence is made out

or not in terms of said judgment. In such eventuality, in case cognizable offence is not found to be made out, then police is obligated to inform the complainant within 07 days of such opinion.

In view of aforesaid respondent No.2 is directed to act in accordance with parameters laid down in **Lalita Kumari's** case (supra) and act in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

July 10, 2015
prince

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE