

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-22071 of 2015
Date of Decision : 15.07.2015

Sandeep

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.P. NAGRATH

Present: Mr. M.S. Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. S.K. Saini, AAG, Haryana.

R.P. Nagrath, J. (Oral)

Petitioner seeks bail under Section 439 Cr.P.C. in FIR No. 315 dated 19.05.2012 for offences under Section 393 of Indian Penal Code (IPC) and Sections 25, 29 and 30 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station City Rohtak, District Rohtak.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State counsel.

The petitioner was earlier granted bail but during pendency of the trial he jumped bail on 12.11.2014. Now, the petitioner is in custody since 26.02.2015. It is undertaken that the petitioner would not commit such default in future.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Ashwani Kumar submits that out of 13 witnesses 4 have already been examined.

Learned trial Court observed that whenever the case is

fixed one or the other accused remains absent and the witnesses are being harassed in this way.

Learned State counsel submits on instructions that there were three private witnesses in this case out of whom the complainant has already died, one witness is given up and third has already been examined.

In view of the above discussion, the instant petition is allowed and the petitioner be admitted to bail on furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

July 15, 2015
jk

(R.P. NAGRATH)
JUDGE