

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-22048 of 2015.

Decided on:-July 15, 2015.

Murslin.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana.

.....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Saleem Ahmed, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kapil Aggarwal, AAG, Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No.501 dated 16.12.2014 under Section 379 IPC read with Section 136 of Indian Electricity Act (Amended), 2003 later on added 411 IPC registered at Police Station Sonapat Sadar, District Sonapat.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is in custody since 10.02.2015 and his name has been cropped on the basis of disclosure statements of co-accused, namely, Anil Kumar and Karambir, who have already been granted bail by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Sonapat.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Ashok Kumar, states that besides the present case, there are 9 other cases pending against the petitioner.

Considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 10.02.2015 and conclusion of trial is likely to take sufficient long time, the instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

However, it is made clear that in case the petitioner is found involved in any other case, the State would be at liberty to file an appropriate application seeking cancellation of his bail in the present case.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

July 15, 2015
'Yag Dutt'