

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-21962-2015
Date of decision:9.7.2015**

Seeta

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr.R.K.Chandana, Advocate for the petitioner.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Feeling aggrieved against the alleged inaction on the part of respondent authorities, petitioner has approached this Court by way of instant petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C., seeking appropriate directions.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that when the grievance of the petitioner was not being redressed, she finally approached the respondent authorities by way of her self-contained representation (Annexure P-2), but the same is also pending decision. He further submits that the petitioner will be satisfied in case respondent No.2 is directed to consider and decide her representation, within a reasonable time.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, lest it should prejudice the rights of either of the parties, the Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana-respondent No.2, is directed to look into the matter, consider the grievance of the petitioner including threat perception and decide the same at an early date, by passing an appropriate order thereon, strictly in accordance with law but in any case within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

With the above-said observations made and directions issued, the present petition stands disposed of.

**9.7.2015
mks**

**(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE**