

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-21939 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 13.08.2015

Punjab Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN

- | | |
|--|-----|
| 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? | Yes |
| 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not? | |
| 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? | Yes |

Present:- Mr. Piyush Sharma, Advocate,
for applicant-petitioner.

Mr. Arshdeep Kler, DAG, Punjab.

SHEKHER DHAWAN, J (ORAL)

Fresh power of attorney has been filed on behalf of the petitioner, same is taken on record.

CRM No.25802 of 2015

Prayer has been made for placing on record affidavit of the petitioner, same is taken on record subject to just exceptions.

CRM stands disposed of.

CRM-M-21939 of 2015

Present petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. is for release of the petitioner on pre-arrest bail.

2. Allegations against the petitioner are that regarding a quarrel dated 04.03.2014 with the family of Angrej Singh false case was got registered against the family by preparing false injuries at his own person from Doctor Punjab Singh who is expert of creating such injuries. Case was got registered by Dilawar Singh against Angrej Singh and others. During

investigation name of present petitioner namely Punjab Singh had come and vide rapat No.43 offence under sections 420, 194, 195 IPC against Dilawar Singh and petitioner Punjab Singh were added. Petitioner Punjab Singh had filed the present petition for release on pre-arrest bail.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner mainly took the plea that petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case because of political background. Petitioner is ready to join the investigation. No case is made out under Section 194, 195 and 420 IPC.

4. Learned State counsel opposed the bail application on the ground that petitioner is involved in number of cases. Learned State counsel took the plea that the petitioner is illegally practising as Doctor at his clinic and used to prepare false and fake injuries upon the persons and help them in registering false cases under Sections 324, 325 and 326 IPC etc; FIR bearing No.219 dated 27.10.2013 under Sections 302, 324, 323, 34 IPC and 13 cases have already been registered of similar nature. The petitioner does not deserve the concession of bail and petition be dismissed.

5. Having considered the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties this Court is of the considered view that allegations against the petitioner that he has been indulging in fabricating false evidence so as to the same in criminal cases and involved in number of police challan cases.

6. In view of the above, present petition being without any merit, stands dismissed.

(SHEKHER DHAWAN)
JUDGE

August 13, 2015

msd