

THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-24656 of 2015

Decided on : 03.07.2015

Habiban

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present : None for the petitioner.
Mr.P.S.Madahar, AAG, Punjab.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Hari Pal Verma, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the present petition is for quashing of Calendra under Section 182, IPC, registered at Police Station Malerkotla, District Sangrur vide Rapat No.15 dated 23.7.2009 (Annexure P/1) on the basis of compromise dated 14.3.2013 (Annexure P/2) alongwith consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

On 18.5.2015, this Court has passed the following order:-

“Prayer made in this petition is for quashing of Calendra under Section 182 IPC, registered at Police Station Malerkotla, District Sangrur vide Rapat No.15 dated 23.7.2009.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the parties have entered into compromise, which is annexed as Annexure P-2 dated 14.3.2013.

Notice of motion for 3.7.2015.

The parties are directed to appear before the IllaqaMagistrate/Trial Court for recording their respective statements with regard to compromise/settlement on 28.5.2015.

The Illaqa Magistrate/Trial Court is directed to submit a report on or before the next date of hearing containing the following information as well:-

- (i) number of persons arrayed as accused in FIR.
- (ii) whether any accused is proclaimed offender.
- (iii) whether the compromise is genuine, voluntary, and without any coercion or undue influence.”

In compliance of the above order passed by this Court, the Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Malerkotla has submitted a report dated 2.6.2015 vide which it is mentioned that parties have appeared before the trial Court and gave their statements without any pressure and with their free will in respect of compromise effected between them.

Learned State counsel has also admitted the factum of compromise effected between the parties. After perusing the statements of the parties and the report of Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Malerkotla learned State counsel submits that he has no objection if the impugned FIR is quashed.

Heard.

The petitioner has been booked for having committed the offence punishable under Section 182, IPC. He has now amicably effected the compromise with the complainant. The learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Malerkotla has recorded the statements of the parties with regard to compromise and the report has also been received in this regard. Therefore, continuation of the trial arising out of the impugned FIR would be a sheer abuse of the process of law because chances of conviction are bleak.

Keeping in view the factum of the compromise effected between the private parties and the law laid down by Full Bench of this Court in the case of Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal), 1052, (P&H), this petition is accepted and Calendra under Section 182 IPC, registered at Police

Station Malerkotla, District Sangrur vide Rapat No.15 dated 23.7.2009
(Annexure P/1) along with consequential proceedings arising
therefrom, are quashed.

[Hari Pal Verma]
Judge

03.07.2015
sd