

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-21826 of 2015
Date of Decision: 08.07.2015

GURPREET KAUR

.....Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHER

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. R.K. Arya, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arshdeep S. Kler, DAG, Punjab.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the grievance of the petitioner was highlighted by way of complaint (Annexure P3) in respect of cognizable offence to respondent No.2 on 09.04.2015.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Arshdeep Singh Kler, DAG, Punjab accepts notice.

In view of nature of order which this Court proposes to pass, there is no necessity of filing reply at this stage.

The petitioner approached Court for directing respondent No.2 to have a fair look on the representation made by the petitioner. Respondent No.2 may analyse the allegations on the parameters as contained in Lalita Kumari vs. Govt. Of U.P. and others 2014 (2) SCC 1. Respondent No.2 will be at liberty to take appropriate steps in respect of culpability or innocence of the private respondents after necessary enquiry.

Disposed of.

Needful be done preferably within two months from today.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

08.07.2015.
SwarnjitS