

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH***

CRM No. M-21805 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 08.07.2015.

Mrs. Sushma

... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana & others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. H.S. Jaswal, Advocate for the petitioner.

....

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J.

The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. praying for issuance of directions to the official respondents No.2 & 3 to take action upon the complaints submitted by the petitioner and thereafter to register a case against respondent Nos.4 to 6.

As per pleadings on record, the present petitioner is stated to be a legally wedded wife of respondent No.4. Respondent No.5 is stated to be her mother-in-law. It is the contention and submission raised on behalf of the petitioner that respondent No.6, namely, Rajni, whose marriage otherwise was fixed for 18.06.2015 with some other person is now interested in marrying to respondent No.4 who is the husband of the petitioner. In a nutshell, grievance raised is that respondent No.6, Rajni is solely responsible for disturbing and depriving the petitioner of the comfort and security of her matrimonial home. It is further submitted that the petitioner is being ill-treated by her husband, Sonu, respondent No.4 only on account of having given birth to a female child.

Having heard counsel for the petitioner at length, this Court is

of the considered view that no basis of interference in issuance of directions in exercise of powers under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is made out.

In taking such view, it would be apposite to take notice that at the instance of the parents of respondent No.6, namely, Rajni, an FIR No.269 dated 08.06.2015, under Sections 363/366 IPC has already been registered against the husband of the petitioner at Police Station Thanesar, District Kurukshetra.

Suffice it to observe that the Investigating Agency will proceed further in the matter strictly in accordance with law.

That apart, as regards the present petitioner is concerned, she has available efficacious and alternate statutory remedies against her own husband and it is open for her to avail the same as per law.

No interference is called for in the present petition and the same is disposed of.

08.07.2015

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**