

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**1. C.R.M-M No.21792-2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 20.08.2015**

Ilyas

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

**2. C.R.M-M No.17208-2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 20.08.2015**

Fakrudin

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

**3. C.R.M-M No.11931-2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 20.08.2015**

Hasan

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Sarfraj Hussain, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Neelam Kashyap, DAG, Haryana.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This order shall dispose of the above mentioned three petitions. Since common questions of law and facts are involved therein, they are being decided by this common order.

These petitions have been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No.108 dated 02.06.2006, under Sections 148, 149, 186, 307, 323, 332, 353, 34 IPC, 25/54/59 of Arms Act registered at Police Station Ferozepur Jhirka, District Mewat on the basis that their co-accused have been acquitted and the petitioners could not be tried because they were declared proclaimed offenders.

On the last date, learned counsel for the petitioners had stated that the petitioners would not press this petition on merits but prayed that they would face the trial and they be released on bail. It is further stated that the petitioners surrendered before the trial Court and have been released on bail and are facing trial pursuant to the order dated 14.07.2015.

Learned Deputy Advocate General on instructions from S.I. Jai Ram has accepted these factual submissions and stated that though she would have opposed the prayer for quashing yet since the petitioners have now limited their prayer as stated above now she has no objection.

In these circumstances, the limited prayer made by the petitioners permitting them to face the trial without being in custody is accepted. The interim orders are made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

20.08.2015

Pooja Sharma-I