

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-24582 of 2013 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 04.05.2015

Neelam

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE R.P. NAGRATH

- 1. *Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?***
- 2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?***
- 3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?***

Present: Mr. Sumit Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Ashwani Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kuldeep Tewari, Addl. A.G., Advocate
for the State.

R.P. Nagrath, J. (Oral)

Prayer made in the instant petition is to direct the respondents to fairly and impartially investigate the offence under Section 406, 420 IPC pertaining to FIR No.417 dated 29.11.2012 registered at Police Station Sampla, District Rohtak.

Learned State counsel submits that as per the reply, investigation was completed and the challan presented before filing of the instant petition. The main ground taken by the petitioner for

change of investigation was that Sunita one of the accused was not arrested.

Learned State counsel submits that Sunita had been declared a proclaimed offender.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, no further indulgence of this Court is required.

The instant petition stands disposed of.

(R.P. Nagrath)
Judge

04.05.2015
sk