

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,
AT CHANDIGARH

246

CRM-M-21772-2015

Date of Decision : 15.12.2015

Baljit Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.. Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present : Mr.Sandeep Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.K.S.Pannu, DAG, Punjab.

Mr.Siddharth Gupta, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

Naresh Kumar Sanghi, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for quashing of the DDR No.6 in FIR No.105, dated 25.05.2001, registered under Section 420, IPC at Police Station Tanda, District Hoshiarpur as well as order dated 08.04.2003 passed by learned trial court declaring the petitioner as proclaimed offender.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, no ground for quashing of the P.O. order is made out. It is apparent that for the last more than 12 years, the petitioner is evading his arrest. Nothing has been pointed out that on what ground the order whereby the petitioner was declared proclaimed is bad. Even otherwise, the offence punishable under Section 420, IPC is compoundable as per provisions

CRM-M-21772-2015

[2]

contained in Section 320, Cr.P.C. If so advised, the petitioner can move an application before the learned Area Judicial Magistrate-cum-trial Court for compounding of the offence in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

[Naresh Kumar Sanghi]
Judge

15.12.2015
sd

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,
AT CHANDIGARH

244

CRM-M-19745-2015

Date of Decision : 15.12.2015

Gurcharan Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Intelligence Officer

.. Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present : Mr.Ashish Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.D.D.Sharma, Advocate for the respondent.

Naresh Kumar Sanghi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the parties are ad idem that
present petition has been rendered infructuous since the
learned trial court has decided the case.

Ordered accordingly.

[Naresh Kumar Sanghi]
Judge

15.12.2015

sd

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,
AT CHANDIGARH

231

CRM-M-38555-2015

Date of Decision : 15.12.2015

Khem Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present : Mr.P.S.Ahluwalia, Advocate
for the petitioner.
Mr.K.S.Pannu, DAG, Punjab.

Naresh Kumar Sanghi, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI-
Devi Ram, E.O.Wing, Patiala submits that after preliminary
inquiry, the complaint presented against the petitioner has
been filed. As such, no complaint is pending inquiry against
the petitioner at Patiala.

In view of the above, learned counsel for the
petitioner does not press this petition at this stage.

Ordered accordingly.

[Naresh Kumar Sanghi]
Judge

15.12.2015
sd