

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-21820-2014 (O&M)
Date of decision: 21.12.2015**

A.N. Meshram

... Petitioner

Vs.

Prem Bihari

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. Sumeet Goel, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajit Sihag, Advocate
for the respondent.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (ORAL)

Admittedly, petitioner has not availed his equally efficacious alternative remedy of revision against the impugned summoning order.

In view of the above, petitioner is relegated to his alternative remedy of revision against the impugned summoning order, at the first instance, however, with liberty to the petitioner to approach this Court again by way of similar quashing petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C., if necessity arises.

It is also made clear that if the petitioner would file the appropriate revision petition against the impugned summoning order within one month from today, respondent shall not raise the issue of limitation against the petitioner.

Disposed of, accordingly.

**[RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK]
JUDGE**

21.12.2015
vishnu