

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No. M-21746 of 2015
Date of Decision:-30.9.2015**

Faqir Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Ms. Promila Nain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S. Madahar, AAG Punjab.

Mr. P.S. Bhinder, Advocate
for the complainant.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that this Court vide order dated 27.7.2015 has permitted the petitioner to visit Canada with a condition to return back to India by 25.9.2015. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the said order, the petitioner has returned back to India from Canada. The petitioner is present in the Court, who has been identified by his counsel.

Since the petitioner has returned back to India, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Disposed of as such.

September 30, 2015
Vijay Asija

**(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE**

