

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-No.21770 of 2015**

Date of decision: 07.04.2015

**Sudhir Jawa and others**

**-----Petitioner (s)**

**V/s**

**State of Haryana and another**

**-----Respondent(s)**

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA**

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Rakesh Nehra, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Manish Bansal, Asstt. Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Vijay, Advocate for respondent No.2.

---

**HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)**

Prayer in the present petition, filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for quashing of FIR No. 232, dated 21.05.2014, under Sections 498-A, 323, 406, 506, 354 and 34 of Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station, Rohtak Civil Lines, Rohtak, District-Rohtak(Annexure P-1), and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the said FIR, on the basis of compromise dated 16.06.2014 (Annexure P-2).

On 11.11.2014, this Court has passed the following order:-

"Since it is a petition for quashing of FIR on the basis of compromise, let the statements of the parties be recorded. Parties are directed to be present before the trial Court on 25.11.2014 or any other date convenient to the Court for recording their statements with regard to

compromise. The trial Court is directed to record the statements of both the parties to its satisfaction to know its genuineness that the statements are not the result of any pressure or coercion in any manner. The trial Court is directed to send a report along with statements of the parties with regard to validity or otherwise of the compromise effected between the parties and also intimate whether any case is pending against either of the parties or not before the next date of hearing. The trial Court is also directed to intimate with regard to pendency of any P.O. proceedings against the parties. Adjourned to 10.03.2015 "

In compliance of the above order passed by this Court, the Addl. Chief Judicial Magistrate, Rohtak, has submitted a report dated 24.12.2014, vide which, it is mentioned that parties have appeared before him and have given their statements in respect of compromise effected between them without any pressure and coercion or undue influence.

Learned State counsel has also admitted the factum of compromise effected between the parties. After perusing the statements of the parties and the report of Addl. Chief Judicial Magistrate, Rohtak, learned State counsel submits that he has no objection if the impugned FIR is quashed.

Heard.

The petitioners have been booked for having committed the offence punishable under Sections 498-A, 323, 406, 506, 354 and 34 of Indian Penal Code. They have now amicably effected a compromise with the complainant. The learned Addl. Chief Judicial Magistrate, Rohtak, has recorded the statements of the parties with

regard to compromise and report has also been received in this regard. Therefore, continuation of the trial arising out of the impugned FIR would be a sheer abuse of the process of law because chances of conviction of the petitioners are bleak.

Keeping in view the factum of the compromise effected between the parties and the law laid down by Full Bench judgment of this Court in the case of **Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal), 1052, (P&H)**, this petition is accepted and 232, dated 21.05.2014, under Sections 498-A, 323, 406, 506, 354 and 34 of Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station, Rohtak Civil Lines, Rohtak, District-Rohtak (Annexure P-1), and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the said FIR, are hereby quashed.

Petition is accordingly allowed.

**April 07, 2015**  
**Anjal**

**( HARI PAL VERMA )**  
**JUDGE**