

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH***

CRM No. M-21679 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 13.07.2015.

Rajbir & others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. D.K. Tuteja, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. M.S. Sidhu, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

....

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J.

Counsel at the very outset prays for withdrawal of the present petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking benefit of regular bail in case FIR No.306 dated 18.05.2015, under Sections 148/149/323/324/326/506 IPC, registered at Police Station Sadar Rohtak, District Rohtak qua petitioner No.3. As such, present petition with regard to Vinod S/o Rajbir (present petitioner) is dismissed as not pressed.

Insofar as petitioners No.1 & 2 are concerned, counsel would contend that the injury attracting offence under Section 326 IPC is attributed to Vinod S/o Rajbir and not to the present petitioners No.1 & 2. Such assertion has not met with any rebuttal at the hands of learned State counsel and who is upon instructions from HC Sunny.

Even otherwise, it has gone uncontroverted that it is a case of version and cross version and in which even petitioners No.1 & 2 had suffered injuries.

For the reasons recorded above and without making any observations on merits, present petition is allowed qua petitioners No.1 & 2.

Petitioners No.1 & 2, namely, Rajbir and Parmod be enlarged
on bail subject to the satisfaction of CJM/Duty Magistrate, Rohtak.

Disposed of.

13.07.2015

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**