

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No. M-26392 of 2010  
Date of Decision:-14.7.2015**

Harbhajan Singh Mann

...Petitioner

Versus

Mohinder Pal Mann

...Respondent

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA**

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Mr. D.P.S. Randhawa, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. R.K. Trikha, Advocate  
for the respondent.

**HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)**

Prayer in this petition is for quashing of complaint No.6/07 under Sections 499, 500 and 501 IPC (Annexure P-1) and the summoning order dated 24.12.2009 (Annexure P-2).

On notice of motion having been issued, the respondent has filed the written statement and has taken the objection that against the summoning order passed by the learned Magistrate, the petitioner is having the remedy of filing the revision before the Sessions Court.

Faced with the aforesaid objection, learned counsel for the petitioner wishes to withdraw the present petition, however, with liberty to

challenge the order of summoning dated 24.12.2009 before the Sessions Court.

Learned counsel for the respondent, however, is fair enough to state that the respondent shall not raise any objection in case the petitioner prefer the revision against the order of summoning dated 24.12.2009 within 30 days, before the Sessions Court.

In view of the above, the petition is **dismissed as withdrawn** with liberty to the petitioner to approach the Sessions Court to challenge the order of summoning dated 24.12.2009 and in case the petitioner prefer the revision petition within 30 days from today, the trial Court shall not dismiss the same on the ground of limitation.

July 14, 2015  
*Vijay Asija*

( **HARI PAL VERMA** )  
**JUDGE**