

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRL. MISC. No.M-21597 OF 2015 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION : 13th OCTOBER, 2015**

Bimla Devi & another

.... **Petitioners**

Versus

State of Haryana & another

.... **Respondents**

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

* * * *

Present : Mr. Sudhir Rana, Advocate for
Mr. Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Pramjeet Singh, Assistant Advocate General,
Haryana.

Ms. Indu Bala, Advocate for respondent No.2.

* * * *

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J. (ORAL)

The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.347 dated 27.06.2009 registered under Sections 323, 324 and 506 read with Section 34 IPC at Police Station Jhajjar, District Jhajjar and the consequent proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of written compromise (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

Report has been received from learned JMIC, Jhajjar after statements of the parties were recorded regarding the compromise. Learned JMIC has reported that the compromise is voluntary and without any pressure or coercion. Learned JMIC has also sent copy of the statements of parties.

Learned counsel for the State on instructions submits that petitioners are the only accused and respondent no.2 is the only aggrieved person in this FIR.

No useful purpose would be served to keep the FIR pending.

In view of the statements and report of the trial Court and the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, approved by Hon'ble Apex Court in **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303**, the instant petition is allowed and the aforesaid FIR and all consequent proceedings conducted on the basis thereof are quashed qua the petitioners.

Needless to say that parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements made in the Court below.

13th October, 2015
'raj'

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE