

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

1. **CRM-M-21481 of 2015 (O&M)**  
**Date of Decision : 24.08.2015**

Rajan Mittal .....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab ...Respondent

2. **CRM-M-23166 of 2015**

Rohit Mittal .....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab ...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.P. NAGRATH**

Present: Mr. S.S. Sidhu, Advocate  
for the petitioner in CRM-M-21481 of 2015.

Mr. P.K.S. Phoolka, Advocate  
for the petitioner in CRM-M-23166 of 2015.

Mr. Gazi Mohd., DAG, Punjab.

**R.P. Nagrath, J. (Oral)**

This order will dispose of aforesaid two petitions as the prayer in both the petitions is made under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of bail in FIR No. 89 dated 19.05.2015 under Sections 354, 354-D, 468, 471 and 506 of Indian Penal Code (IPC), registered at Police Station Canal Colony, Bathinda, District Bathinda.

The petitioner in CRM-M-21481 of 2015 has filed CRM No. 27330 of 2015 for placing on record copy of DDR No. 21 dated 16.07.2015 (Annexure A-1) and copy of challan dated 16.07.2015 (Annexure A-2). The application is allowed and documents Annexures A-1 and A-2 be taken on record.

On the prayer made by learned counsel for petitioners

the offences under Sections 500, 509 and 120-B IPC and Section 67 of the I.T. Act be added in the headnote as well as prayer clause of both the petitions. Registry to do the needful.

Petitioners in both the petitions were arrested on 19.05.2015.

The allegation against petitioners are that they have posted messages on the Facebook and Whatts App number of the minor girl who is 16 years old. Earlier, friendship request was stated to have been posted by Rohit Mittal-petitioner in CRM-M-23166 of 2015 but that was not accepted by the girl.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Mukhtiar Singh submits that the matter is being further investigated and supplementary challan after collecting whole data/documents is also likely to be filed under Section 173 (8) Cr.P.C.

Petitioners are in custody for about 3 months and it will take some time in conclusion of the trial.

In view of the above and without commenting on merits of the case, instant petitions are allowed and petitioners be admitted to bail on furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Allowed in the above terms.

**August 24, 2015**

jk

**( R.P. NAGRATH )  
JUDGE**