

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M-21418 of 2015

Date of decision: 21.08.2015**Jaspal Singh****----Petitioner(s)**

V/s

State of Punjab & another**-----Respondent(s)****CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA**

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Gurinderjit Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. G.S. Rawat, Advocate for respondent No.2-complainant.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for quashing of FIR No. 66, dated 24.04.2015 (Annexure P-1) for offence under Sections 406, 420 and 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Division No.7, Jalandhar District-Jalandhar, and all the subsequent and consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 12.05.2015(Annexure P-2).

This Court vide order dated 06.07.2015, had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court to get their statements recorded and the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court was further directed to submit its report about the genuineness of the compromise, as per the statements of the parties so recorded.

Accordingly, the parties have appeared before learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Jalandhar, and got their statements recorded. On the basis of the statements, so recorded by the parties, learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Jalandhar, has submitted his report dated 14.08.2015, to the effect that the compromise entered between the parties is genuine, voluntary and the same has been effected without any coercion and undue influence.

Mr. G.S. Rawat, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf respondent No.2/complainant and does not dispute the factum of compromise.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 29.07.2015 passed by this Court, an amount of Rs.5,000/- has been deposited with the Punjab State Legal Services Authority, Chandigarh. In view thereof, learned counsel has produced a photocopy of bank draft in the name of Member Secretary, Punjab Legal Services Authority, Chandigarh, the same is taken on record.

In view of the above, no useful purpose would be served to continue with the proceedings before the trial Court in the instant FIR *qua* the petitioner.

Accordingly, following the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 (P&H) and approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab and others**, (2012)10 SCC 303, this petition is allowed and FIR No.66, dated 24.04.2015 (Annexure P-1) for offence under Sections 406, 420 and 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Division No.7,

Jalandhar District-Jalandhar, and all the subsequent and consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 12.05.2015(Annexure P-2), are hereby quashed.

August 21, 2015
Anjal

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE