

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 21370 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 28.07.2015.**

Ranjit Singh Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NAVITA SINGH

Present:- Mr. Bipan Ghai, Senior Advocate, with
Mr. Vishavjit S. Virk, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana, for the respondent.

NAVITA SINGH, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been moved by the petitioner under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking regular bail in case FIR No. 47 dated 23.01.2015, under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code of Police Station City Jind.

The allegations against the petitioner are that he used a forged decree allegedly passed in a civil suit regarding some landed property. He made a disclosure statement in which he mentioned that one Anil Panchal had asked the petitioner to give him an amount of Rs.2.5 lac and that he would get the matter decided in his favour. He was informed that the suit had been decided in his favour and the decree was handed over to him by said Anil Panchal.

Disclosure statement of Shri Som Nath Singh, Advocate, who was named in the statement of the petitioner as having been engaged

through said Anil Panchal, was also recorded in which he did not state anything against the present petitioner.

The petitioner is in custody since 24.02.2015.

It is submitted that Anil Panchal has also moved this Court for anticipatory bail and his petition is to be heard on 06.08.2015.

Charge-sheet stands filed against the petitioner. However, since Anil Panchal was subsequently arrayed as an accused, the matter is still under investigation qua him. Charges have yet not been drawn up.

In view of the above, the request of the petitioner for bail is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of Area/Duty Magistrate.

(NAVITA SINGH)
JUDGE

28.07.2015
Ramesh