

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-24159-2013

Date of decision: 07.09.2015

Baba Balbir Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and ors.

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. Sudhir Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. K.S. Nalwa, Addl. AG, Punjab.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (ORAL)

Feeling aggrieved against the alleged inaction on the part of respondent authorities for providing adequate security, petitioner has approached this Court by way of instant petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking appropriate directions.

Notice of motion was issued and pursuant thereto, different affidavits were filed from time to time.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that when the petitioner came to know about the withdrawal of the adequate security, which was earlier provided to him, he approached the respondent authorities, by way of his self-contained representation dated 02.07.2013 (Annexure P-9), to Additional Director General of Police (Security), Punjab Police Headquarter, Sector-9, Chandigarh but no action thereon has been taken. He further submits that petitioner will be satisfied in case appropriate directions are issued to the respondent authorities to consider the grievance of the

petitioner raised in his representation dated 02.07.2013 (Annexure P-9), including threat perception and decide the same at an early date, by passing an appropriate order thereon, strictly in accordance with law.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the State, while referring to different affidavits available on record, submits that different meetings were convened of the Security Review Committee. Threat perception was examined and thereafter, petitioner was provided with a 'Y' type of security which is still continuing. However, learned counsel for the State could not point out from any of the affidavits filed on behalf of the State that whether the representation dated 02.07.2013 (Annexure P-9) submitted by the petitioner, was ever considered and appropriate order was passed thereon or not.

In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on merits of the case, lest it should prejudice the rights of either of the parties, present petition is disposed of, with a direction to the Director General of Police, Punjab-respondent No.2 to consider the grievance of the petitioner raised in his representation dated 02.07.2013 (Annexure P-9), including the threat perception and decide the same at an early date, by passing an appropriate order thereon, strictly in accordance with law but in any case within a period of one month from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

With the abovesaid observations made and directions issued, present petition stands disposed of.

[RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK]
JUDGE

07.09.2015
vishnu