

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

111

CRM-M-21264-2015

Date of decision: 3.7.2015

BALKAR SINGH

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr.D.S.Gandhi , Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.

Prayer in this petition is for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 to 4 not to harass the petitioner at the behest of private respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that while the petitioner was in custody, acts of omission and commission were done by the private respondents. After acquittal in the said case, petitioner highlighted instances of cognizable offence before respondents No.3 and 4 by way of moving representations (Annexures P-4 and P-5). According to learned counsel, compliance of Section 154 Cr.P.C. is complete and thereafter, police is under obligation to do the needful in the light

of decision given by the Apex Court in **Lalita Kumari vs. Govt. of U.P. and others 2014 (2) SCC 1**. Police is entitled to embark upon inquiry only for the limited purpose to ascertain whether cognizable offence is made out or not in view of clause 2 of the directions given in **Lalita Kumari's case (supra)**. In the event of dismissal of the representation, police is obligated to inform the complainant within seven days of such order.

In view of aforesaid, respondent No.3 is directed to look into the representation made by the petitioner and pass appropriate order in accordance with law.

Petition stands disposed of.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

July 03, 2015
anita