

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M No.21198 of 2015(O&M)

Date of Decision:-18.11.2015

Mohit Garg @ Mangu.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana.

.....Respondent.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present:- Mr. Veneet Sharma, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. Satish Saini, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana
along with ASI Karam Chand.

JASWANT SINGH, J (ORAL)

Prayer is under Section 439 Cr.PC for grant of regular bail to the petitioner Mohit Garg @ Mangu in case FIR No.28 dated 17.01.2015 for offences punishable under Sections 302, 34, 303, 120-B of Indian Penal Code and Sections 25 of Arms Act registered with Police Station Mahesh Nagar, District Ambala, Haryana.

As per the case of the prosecution, deceased Gajender singh was shot dead at the gate of his house for non fulfilling the extortion demand of Rs.2 lacs made by the main accused Kuldeep Singh @ Kallu. On the fateful night of 29.01.2015, co-accused Arvind Sharma and Saurabh are stated to have ferried the co-accused Kallu and Hardeep @ Monu to the house of Gajender Singh and whereupon they shot dead Gajender Singh.

As per the allegations surfaced during the investigation, the only role attributed to the present petitioner is of having relations with Kuldeep Singh @ Kallu and having pointed out to the house of deceased Geajender Singh prior to the occurrence on that night.

Learned Counsel for the petitioner contends that except the disclosure statement of the co-accused Kuldeep Singh & Ors. qua the role of the present petitioner, there is no other incriminating material against the petitioner. It is further contended that the said statements made before the police are stated to be not admissible even against the main accused much less the present petitioner. It is further contended that the petitioner is in custody since 28.01.2015 and the investigation qua him are over.

Learned State Counsel on instructions from ASI Karam Chand concedes regarding the factum of custody period and the challan having been presented. He is further unable to point out any other incriminating material except the reference of the name in the disclosure statements of the co-accused.

Without Commenting on the merits of the case, keeping in view the above, present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail to the satisfaction of the CJM/Duty Magistrate, Ambala.

(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE

November 18, 2015
Vinay