

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.R.M-M No.21000 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 17.11.2015**

Rajdutt

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Ramesh Goyat, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Harpreet Kaur, A.A.G., Haryana.

None for respondent No.2.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner during the pendency of trial in an offence under Sections 148, 149, 323, 302, 307 IPC and 25, 54, 59 of the Arms Act in FIR No.181 dated 23.08.2014, registered at P.S. Baroda, District Sonapat.

The allegations against the petitioner are that the petitioner was found innocent by the police but was later on summoned under Section 319 Cr.P.C. and the allegation is only that he had abused the complainant-

respondent No.2.

Learned Assistant Advocate General has not disputed these factual assertions.

On the last date, I specifically impleaded the complainant as respondent No.2 so as to seek his views but none has entered appearance on his behalf.

In the circumstances, I see no reason to deny the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner during the pendency of trial. Let the petitioner appear before the trial Court on the date fixed who shall release him on bail to its satisfaction.

Petition stands allowed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending criminal miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

November 17, 2015

ashish

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**