

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-20984-2015(O&M)
Date of Decision: October 05, 2015**

Raj Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Mr.P.K.S.Phoolka, Advocate
for the petitioner.
Mr.K.S.Pannu, DAG, Punjab.

.....

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the State submits that in compliance of the order, dated 20.08.2015, the affidavit of the Senior Superintendent of Police, Bathinda, has been filed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present petition has been rendered infructuous since the petitioner has been granted bail in terms of Section 36-A of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985/ 167(2) Cr.P.C.

Ordered accordingly.

October 05, 2015
meenu

**(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE**