

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

FAO No.2278 of 1997

Date of Decision: April 29, 2015

Santosh Kumari & Ors.

...Appellants

Versus

Lakha Singh & Ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: None for the appellants.

Naresh Kumar Sanghi, J.

The case has been called twice. No one has put in appearance for the appellants.

On 07.01.2015, the following order was passed:-

“On the last date of hearing, fresh notices were issued to the respondents.

As per office report, respondent No.1 is not residing at the given address. The address of respondent No.2 is incomplete as there are no block number in this area. Respondent No.3 has shifted from his address as given. Therefore, all the three respondents i.e. respondents No.1 to 3 remain unserved.

Again issue fresh notices to respondents No.1 to 3 on furnishing their correct and complete addresses for 04.03.2015.”

Office report dated 03.03.2015 would reveal that learned counsel for the appellants has failed to supply the correct addresses of respondent Nos.1 to 3 so notices could not

be issued to them. It appears that neither the appellants nor their counsel are interested in pursuing the present appeal.

Dismissed for want of prosecution.

April 29, 2015
seema

(Naresh Kumar Sanghi)
Judge