

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-2085 of 2015
Date of Decision: 06.04.2015

Ranjit Kaur and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SNEH PRASHAR

Present: Mr. Sumeet Puri, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. A.S. Klar, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Gaurav Singla, Advocate
for the complainant.

SNEH PRASHAR, J.

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (Cr.P.C.) for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in case FIR No. 137 dated 08.12.2014, under Sections 452, 323, 506, 148 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code registered at Police Station, Longowal, District Sangrur.

2. Precisely, the accusation against the petitioner is that on 07.12.2014 at about 2.00 p.m they alongwith three unknown persons entered the house of complainant-Jagtar Singh and inflicted injuries on him, his father and sister-in-law Charanjit Kaur who was pregnant.

It was submitted on behalf of the petitioners that they were allowed interim bail vide order dated 23.01.2015. It is not the allegation that they had committed any overt act violating the conditions on which

interim protection was granted to them. Learned counsel contended that a false case had been got registered by complainant-Jagtar Singh in order to deprive the petitioners of their right in the ancestral property.

3. Heard the submissions made by Mr. Sumeet Puri, Advocate representing the petitioner, Mr. Gaurav Singla, Advocate representing the complainant and Mr. A.S. Klar, AAG, Punjab representing the State.

4. It is mentioned in the first information report itself that Gurbachan father of the complainant had divided the agricultural land owned by him among his sons in equal shares keeping just 04 killas of land for himself. He had told the family that he will give the land kept by him to the family member who will look after him. The petitioners wanted to take his land during his life time which led to the occurrence during which the petitioners inflicted injuries on the complainant and Gurbachan Singh with wooden sticks.

5. Injuries suffered by Gurbachan Singh were attributed to petitioner Lakhwinder Singh. One of the injuries suffered by Gurbachan Singh had been declared grievous.

6. Petitioner No.1 Ranjit Kaur is said to be a widow and in her middle age. The grievous injury suffered by Gurbachan Singh stands attributed to petitioner No.2 Lakwinder Singh. The allegation that kick blows were given to Charanjit Kaur-sister-in-law of the complainant, who was pregnant is also against Lakhwinder Singh.

Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case and without commenting on merits while the concession of interim bail

to Ranjit Kaur is made absolute and the said relief is declined to Lakhwinder Singh. Accordingly, the application for anticipatory bail filed by Lakhwinder Sing shall stand dismissed.

Accordingly, the petition is disposed of as such.

April 06, 2015
rashmi

(SNEH PRASHAR)
JUDGE