

205

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-20827 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: August 21, 2015

Vinod Kumar Gupta

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SABINA

Present: Mr. Rakesh Dhiman, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, AAG Haryana.

SABINA, J

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.460 dated 08.06.2015, under Section 420 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 and 63 of Copy Right Act, 1957, registered at Police Station Civil Lines Gurgaon, District Gurgaon

While issuing notice of motion, following order was passed by this Court on 01.07.2015:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case. Otherwise, he has nothing to do with the alleged violation of provisions of Copy Right Act. The petitioner has simply rent out the carts/rehris to the co-accused. But, subsequently, the co-accused in connivance with police has unfolded the name of petitioner.

Notice of motion to the respondent for

21.08.2015.

In the meanwhile, petitioner is directed to join the investigation. In the event of arrest, petitioner shall be released on prearrest bail at the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer subject to the following conditions, as envisaged under section 438(2) Cr.P.C:-

- (i) that the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- (ii) that the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;*
- (iii) that the petitioner shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court.”*

Learned State counsel on instructions from Sub Inspector Budhi Parkash has submitted that petitioner has joined investigation and is not required for further investigation.

Accordingly, interim bail granted to the petitioner by this Court vide order dated 01.07.2015, is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

**(SABINA)
JUDGE**

August 21, 2015
mahavir