

208

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-20808 of 2015
Date of Decision: 07.06.2015**

LAKHPREET SINGH @ LAKHA

.....Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Surinder Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arshdeep Singh Kler, DAG, Punjab.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that occurrence of snatching of purse containing mobiles and Rs.9000/- took place on 21.01.2015. FIR came to be registered on 23.01.2015. Petitioner was arrested on 24.01.2015. Petitioner was granted bail by the Magistrate, however, notification in respect of offence under Section 379-B IPC came to be notified thereafter, making the offence to be tried by Court of Sessions. Petitioner was again arrested on 15.04.2015 and now prayer of regular bail has been declined. Learned counsel states that petitioner was granted bail in this very case and it is only by virtue of subsequent notification that he has been re-arrested.

Mr. Arshdeep S. Kler, on instructions from HC Vijay Kumar states that the petitioner has antecedent behavior in such type of activities in the past.

Looking to the fact that the petitioner was granted bail in this case by the Magistrate and now he has been taken into custody on account of notification, making the offence under Section 379-B IPC to be tried by Court of Sessions, bail may be granted to the petitioner. Petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail, subject to furnishing of bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of CJM, Jalandhar.

Nothing expressed hereinabove would be construed to be an expression of opinion on merits of this case.

July 07, 2015.
SwarnjitS

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE