IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

1) CRM-M No. 20799 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 16.07.2015.

Manjit Kaur ..... Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab ..... Respondent.

2) CRM-M No. 20801 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 16.07.2015.

Sukhwinder Singh and another ..... Petitioners.

Versus

State of Punjab ..... Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NAVITA SINGH

Present:- Mr. R.S. Shekhon, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. K.S. Pannu, DAG, Punjab, for the respondent.

NAVITA SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner – Manjit Kaur is alleged to be changing her name at different times and has been living with different men. Earlier, she named herself as Resham Kaur @ Resho and lived with Gurdev Singh as his wife and produced four children from his loins. Thereafter, she lived with one person named Garib and then left him. Thereafter, she lived with Ram Lal and left him. Then she lived with Sampuran Singh son of Darshan Singhand changed her name to Manjit Kaur. She left said Sampuran Singh and then started living with Gurdeep Singh, father of the complainant. She

RAMESH KUMAR MALIK
2015.07.17 16:54
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
High Court Chandigarh

claimed herself to be the wife of Gurdeep Singh and got adoption deed dated 09.12.2014 executed from Gurdeep Singh in favour of Sukhmanpreet Kaur daughter of Rajwinder Kaur, who is in turn daughter of the petitioner. Also, Gurdeep Singh executed a Will in favour of the adopted daughter Sukhmanpreet Kaur on 11.12.2014 and he passed away on 14.12.2014.

Petitioner – Manjit Kaur is apparently a very clever woman who has been changing her names and living with different men. Foul play cannot be ruled out. The dates are crucial because adoption deed is dated 09.12.2014, Will is of 11.12.2014 and the demise of Gurdeep Singh was on 14.12.2014.

In the other case, the petitioners are Rajwinder Kaur and her husband Sukhwinder Singh, i.e. daughter and son-in-law of petitioner Manjit Kaur. Sukhmanpreet Kaur is the daughter of said two petitioners, i.e. Rajwinder Kaur and Sukhwinder Singh.

Counsel for the petitioners submits that Ranjit Singh was the attesting witness of the Will and also it has not been proved as yet that the documents i.e. adoption deed and the Will were forged because those bear the signatures of Gurdeep Singh. At this stage, there is no prima facie case against the petitioners regarding having committed any forgery or having got the documents executed from Gurdeep Singh under any kind of pressure or coercion.

State counsel, however, contended that it has come on record that Ranjit Singh had made a statement before the police that when he had gone to the house of Gurdeep Singh the Will already stood executed and he just put signature on that document as witness.

RAMESH KUMAR MALIK
2015.07.17 16:54
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
High Court Chandigarh

At this stage, no ground is made out to grant the relief of anticipatory bail to the petitioners, which is a relief not to be granted with light hands.

In view of the above, both the petitions are dismissed.

A copy of the order be placed on the file of connected case.

(NAVITA SINGH)
JUDGE

16.07.2015
Ramesh

RAMESH KUMAR MALIK
2015.07.17 16:54
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
High Court Chandigarh