

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

239

CRM-M-23514-2013 (O&M).

Date of Decision: 16.07.2015.

Tarsem Lal

....Petitioner.

Vs.

State of Punjab

....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SNEH PRASHAR

Present: Mr. Rajeev K. Kapila, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. A.S. Klar, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

Sneh Prashar, J.

CRM-2756-2015

This criminal miscellaneous has been filed for placing on record Annexure P12. The document is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions.

CRM-M-23514-2013

Prayer made in the instant petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (in short "Cr.P.C.") was for quashing of First Information Report No.92 dated 27.07.1999 under Section 15 of Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 and Section 26 of the Drugs & Cosmetics Act, 1940 registered at Police Station Hariana, District Hoshiarpur and all subsequent proceedings.

As admitted by the petitioner himself that he was arrested in the criminal case, particulars of which have been mentioned above, on 27.11.1999. He was further submitted that in the month of October, 2000, he went to Italy, which means that after his arrest he was enlarged on bail. Admittedly, vide order dated 27.07.2002 he was declared a proclaimed offender. It is clear from the said facts that violating the concession of bail given to him and the terms and conditions of the bail bond and surety bond furnished by him he went abroad and on account of his absence was declared proclaimed offender by learned trial court. The submission of learned counsel for the petitioner that in another identical criminal case bearing First Information Report No.129 dated 27.07.1999 under Section 15 of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 and Section 26 of Drugs & Cosmetic Act, 1940 registered at Police Station Tanda, the accused were acquitted, is completely misplaced having no link with the case registered against the petitioner. The petitioner is absconding and is a declared proclaimed offender since 2000. Till date the petitioner has made no effort to appear before learned trial court.

In the said facts and circumstances, there is no ground for either quashing the First Information Report or the order dated 27.07.2002 passed by learned Magistrate declaring the petitioner as a proclaimed offender and the petition is dismissed.

(SNEH PRASHAR)
JUDGE

July 16, 2015.

jitender